

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—I want to know whether our Government have ascertained whether any action has been taken against those miscreants?

MR. SPEAKER.—After addressing, should not the reply come?

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—I want to know when the Government addressed and whether they have received any reply?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ನನಗೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಅನುಭವ ಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರವನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ಹೊರತು, ಅದು ಯಾವ ತರಃಪತ್ರ, ಏನು ಎಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದವರು ಶಿವಶೇನಾ ನಂಘಟನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಲ್ಲರ ಕುಮ್ಮಕ್ಕನ್ನೂ ಕೆಳಗಡೆಗೊಂಡು ಯಾರು ಯಾರು ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರದವರು ಅಲ್ಲವೋ ಅವರಮೇಲೆ ದಾಳಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಒಂದು ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವಾಗ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪ್ರತಿಭಟನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ? ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೊಡನೆ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಯಾವರೀತಿ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ? ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರದವರು ದಾಳಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಎನಾದರೂ ಖಂಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಆ ರೀತಿ ದಾಳಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ತಪ್ಪು. ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬಾರದಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥಾದ್ದು ಆಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಸರಿಯಿಲ್ಲ. ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಸೇರಿದ್ದು. ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಸೇರಿ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು. ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ರೀತಿ ನಡೆಯಬಾರದು. ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂಥಾದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

MR. SPEAKER.—Question Hour is Over.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE re: ISSUE OF SHOW CAUSE NOTICE TO THE CORPORATION OF BANGALORE.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelgola).—Sir, I call the attention of the Minister for Development, Panchayat Raj and Municipal Administration to the situation arising out of the issue of show cause notice on the Corporation of Bangalore to supersede the Corporation.

†Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Development, Panchayat Raj and Municipal Administration).—I beg to state that there is no such situation as such. It is only a normal course of action in the administration. There is nothing special so far as this call attention notice by the Leader of the Opposition is concerned. There are commissions and omissions and as such the information so far received from time to time by the Government in respect of administration of the Corporation of Bangalore, *prima facie* is found sufficient to issue a show cause notice as provided under Section 47 (a) of the Bangalore Corporation Act. However, there is a provision in the Act that before making an order of supercession, reasonable opportunity should be given to explain the reasons. Notice is already issued and a sufficient time—about 30 days, has been given for the Corporation to reply. It is premature to say anything more than this. After the orders of the supercession, if passed, a copy of the order of the supercession with the statement of reasons therefor, will be laid on the table of both the Houses of Legislature.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Sir,.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is a Calling Attention. If you want to discuss, you may ask for a debate in proper manner and under proper rule. If that is not made there is no scope for debate.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—If you kindly assure us that a debate if given notice of by some of us, would be allowed, we will certainly do it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Particularly in these circumstances, and at the end of the term, I do not know how my assurance will be carried out ?

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to place a copy of the show cause notice on the Table of the House ?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—I will place the copy, if I am asked to do so ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Copy of the notice may be placed on the Table of the House.

ANNOUNCEMENT FROM THE SPEAKER *re*: MYSORE URBAN LAND TAX BILL, 1966.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have an announcement to make

“I have to inform the House that the Governor has withheld his assent to the Mysore Urban Land Tax Bill, 1966, which was passed by both Houses of the Legislature and presented to the Governor for his assent on the 8th August 1966. While returning the Bill, the Law Department have stated that in the light of the decision of the Madras High Court in respect of the validity of the Madras Urban Land Tax Act, 1963, the Constitutional validity of the Bill was examined and since there were no distinguishing features from the provisions of the Madras Act and the Bill and the changing section was violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India as unconstitutional.”

I am simply making an announcement. On my announcement no comments are entertained. Members have to simply hear it.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—I beg to lay :

- (i) Order No. ED 24 UNI 66, dated 31st January, 1966 (The Bangalore University (Removal of Difficulties relating to the vacancies in the office of the Vice-Chancellor) Order, 1966;